

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,049	09/775,049 01/31/2001		Hal S. Padgett	P-LG 4412		
23601	7590	02/13/2002				
CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP 4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE 7TH FLOOR SAN DIEGO, CA 92122				EXAMI	EXAMINER	
				LU, FRANK V		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				ARTORIT	TATER NUMBER	
				1634	1	
				DATE MAILED: 02/13/2002	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	09/775,049	PADGETT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE CO.	Frank W Lu	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any  - Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_,					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-95</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-95</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CER 4.05(a)						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
ir approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	3 1 1 3 (4)	(4) 51 (1).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents h</li> </ol>	nave been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	nave been received in Application	n No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1655

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Location of Application

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1655.

#### Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-26 and 32, drawn to a method of forcing recombination between polynucleotides, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1 and 440.
  - II. Claims 27-52, drawn to a method of forcing recombination between polynucleotides, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1 and 440.
  - III. Claims 53-81, drawn to a method of forcing recombination between polynucleotides, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1 and 440.
  - IV. Claims 82-95, drawn to a method of forcing recombination between polynucleotides, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1 and 440.
  - 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to methods which comprise different method steps. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the method of Group II requires step (d) in claim 27 or step (b) in claim 40, which is not required in the method of Group I.

Art Unit: 1655

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Location of Application

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1655.

### Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-26 and 32, drawn to a method of forcing recombination between polynucleotides, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1 and 440.
  - II. Claims 27-52, drawn to a method of forcing recombination between polynucleotides, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1 and 440.
  - III. Claims 53-81, drawn to a method of forcing recombination between polynucleotides, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1 and 440.
  - IV. Claims 82-95, drawn to a method of forcing recombination between polynucleotides, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1 and 440.
  - 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to methods which comprise different method steps. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the method of Group II requires step (d) in claim 27 or step (b) in claim 40, which is not required in the method of Group I.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,049

Art Unit: 1655

Groups I and III are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to methods which comprise different method steps. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the method of Group III requires step (j) in claim 53, which is not required in the method of Group I.

Groups I and IV are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to methods which comprise different method steps. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the method of Group IV requires step (j) in claim 82, which is not required in the method of Group I.

Groups II and III are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to methods which comprise different method steps. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the method of Group III requires step (j) in claim 53, which is not required in the method of Group II.

Groups II and IV are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to methods which comprise different method steps. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the method of Group IV requires step (j) in claim 82, which is not required in the method of Group II.

Groups III and IV are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to methods which comprise different method steps. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the method of Group III requires such as step (f) in claim 53, which is not required in the method of Group IV.

Art Unit: 1655

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- I. For Groups I
- (1) two polynucleotides (claims 1 and 14)
- (2) three polynucleotides (claims 2 and 15)
- (3) four polynucleotides (claims 5 and 18)
- II. For Group II
- (1) two polynucleotides (claims 27 and 40)
- (2) three polynucleotides (claims 28 and 41)
- (3) four polynucleotides (claims 31 and 44)
- III. For Groups III
- (1) three polynucleotides (claims 53 and 68)
- (2) four polynucleotides (claims 56 and 69)
- (3) five or more polynucleotides (claims 59 and 72)
- IV For Groups IV
- (1) three polynucleotides (claim 82)
- (2) four polynucleotides (claim 83)
- (3) five or more polynucleotides (claim 86)

Art Unit: 1655

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are 1, 3, 4, 6-14, 16, 17, 19-27, 29, 30, 31-40, 42, 43, 45-55, 57, 58, 60-67, 70, 71, 73-82, 84, 85, and 87-95.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- I. Group I

Application/Control Number: 09/775,049

Page 6

Art Unit: 1655

- (1) Polynucleotide only (claims 2-8, 11, 12, 15-21, 24, 25, and 32)
- (2) functional property assay (claims 9, 10, 22, and 23)
- (3) adding clamp (claims 13 and 26)
- II. Group II
- (1) Polynucleotide only (claims 28-35, 38, 41-47, and 51)
- (2) functional property assay (claims 36, 37, 48, and 49)
- (3) adding clamp (claims 39 and 52)
- III. Group III
- (1) Polynucleotide only (claims 54-62, 65, 66, 69-75, 78, 79, and 81)
- (2) functional property assay (claims 63, 64, 76, and 77)
- (3) adding clamp (claims 67 and 80)
- IV. Group IV
- (1) Polynucleotide only (claims 83-89, 92, 93, and 95)
- (2) functional property assay (claims 90 and 91)
- (3) adding clamp (claim 94)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claim is claims 1, 14, 27, 40, 53, 68, and 82.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 09/775,049

Art Unit: 1655

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 7

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 8. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94

Art Unit: 1655

(December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Frank Lu February 4, 2002

> ETHAN C. WHISENANT PRIMARY EXAMINER